

APQP ELEMENT EXPECTATIONS

The following section describes Stackpole expectations for each of the APQP elements found on the Advanced Product Quality Planning (APQP) Matrix.

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Design Failure Mode and Effects Analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suppliers with Design Responsibility must create product specific DFMEA • Others should request Stackpole. DFMEA & evaluate their products. • Evaluation should include failure mode analysis, input to design controls, agreement with action plans & timing. • Review & Acceptance should be documented utilizing AIAG DFMEA Checklist (or equivalent). |
| 2. Design Reviews | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suppliers must provide evidence of design review being conducted with Stackpole Launch Team personnel • Design Issues must be documented in some form of issues list tracking ownership & completion. • Review & Acceptance of design should be documented utilizing AIAG A-2 Checklist (or equivalent). |
| 3. Design Verification Plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of agreement/approval from Stackpole must be provided for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Performance Validation Plan – Functional Validation Plan – Dimensional Validation Plan – Consideration of Measurement System Analysis for prototype and production – Stackpole approval |
| 4. Master Timing Plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master Timing Plan Minimum Requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Prototype Build Dates, Quantities, & Material Required Date at Stackpole (MRD) – Pre-Production Build Dates, Quantities, & MRD – Production SOP Build Dates, Quantities, & MRD – Tool Timing – Equipment Timing – Dimensional Validation – Functional Validation – Performance Validation – Associate Training – Sub-Supplier PPAP Timing – PPAP Submission detailing Key Milestones such as Appearance Approval, etc. |
| 5. Facilities, Tools, and Gauges | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-explanatory on matrix • Refer to AIAG APQP Manual Checklists |
| 6. Prototype Build Control Plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes Hand Assembly Operations • Product Fit/Function Validation • Product Layout • See AIAG APQP Manual Appendix A Checklist |
| 7. Prototype/Mule Builds | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Stackpole prototype material required dates (MRD) will be met with the correct level parts, customer specified data, and Stackpole approval for any nonconformance. |
| 8. Design Verification Testing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design testing completed per DVP&R (item 3). • All design deficiencies identified are updated in DFMEA, corrected, and retested. |

**The Master Document is controlled electronically. All hard copies of this document are uncontrolled.
To verify the revision level of any copy, compare to the electronic master located on the Stackpole website.**

-
- | | |
|--|---|
| 9. Prototype Part Layout and SSC/SCC Ppk | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include preliminary critical characteristic designation. • Include surrogate capability data for tolerance. • Product Fit/Function Validation prior to shipment. • Product Layout to occur prior to shipment. • Advanced notification & agreement of product not meeting specification prior to shipment. |
| 10. Drawings and Specifications | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suppliers will work with Stackpole to ensure engineering specification tests, and product validation test requirements are documented in time to support pre-launch control plan development. • Suppliers will coordinate with Stackpole the need to use Stackpole's customer approved source lists. |
| 11. Team Feasibility Commitment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Team Feasibility Commitment has been reviewed by team and signed off as approved to current design level for all Milestone Reviews |
| 12. Manufacturing Process Flow Chart | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process Flow Chart used to develop PFMEA and Control Plan. • AIAG checklist A-5 or equivalent initiated and open issues identified with actions • Launch containment is addressed in flow. |
| 13. Process Failure Mode and Effects Analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PFMEA is Process Failure Driven, not Product Failure Driven. • PFMEA approved by Stackpole. • AIAG checklist A-7 or equivalent utilized in conjunction with AIAG FMEA manual • Packaging, labeling and shipping are included in analysis. |
| 14. Measurement Systems Evaluation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stackpole must be given the opportunity to review and concur with the gauge and test equipment plans and actual study results • Utilization of AIAG Measurement Systems Analysis Reference Manual for guidance on utilizing statistical techniques to develop and qualify measurement systems. |
| 15. Pre-Launch Control Plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-launch control plan approved by Stackpole (also referred to as pre-production control plan) • Launch containment is documented in plan • AIAG checklist A-8 or equivalent is utilized |
| 16. Production Ramp-up Schedule | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The supplier will define their own Ramp up schedule to support the Stackpole Production Ramp-up Schedule. • Supplier understands Stackpole Material Release Process and has systems in place prior to SOP. |
| 17. Packaging Specifications | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Packaging requirements will be agreed to by the supplier and the Stackpole Division <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Includes prototype packaging. • Production packaging approved via Stackpole Packaging Declaration form |
| 18. Production Control Plan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Production control plan approved by Stackpole. • Launch containment is documented in plan if required • AIAG checklist A-8 or equivalent is utilized • Supplier has audited implementation of control plan in production environment |
| 19. Production Trial Run | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPAP run complete with production process, tooling and equipment. • Problems identified are quickly addressed with corrective actions • Scrap rate and cycle time 100% to plan. |
| 20. Production Part Layout | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part layout requirements agreed with Stackpole in advance - number of pieces, dimensions, etc. • Dimensional results approved by Stackpole • Out of Tolerance Items - actions to correct items should be complete prior to PPAP |

**The Master Document is controlled electronically. All hard copies of this document are uncontrolled.
To verify the revision level of any copy, compare to the electronic master located on the Stackpole website.**

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| 21. Launch Readiness Review Meeting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Launch readiness reviews are conducted with Stackpole to review status of program and evidence to support ratings in APQP matrix. Run-at-Rate acceptable prior to SOP. |
| 22. Preliminary Process Capability Study | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PpK studies complete and meet PPAP requirements. Containment plans are in place and documented on the control plan for unstable processes or PpK < 1.67 |
| 23. Production Validation Testing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All dimensional, material, functional, and reliability tests must be completed prior to production part approval. If not, appropriate action plans and Stackpole approvals are required. |
| 24. Boundary Samples | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Boundary Samples will be signed off by Stackpole |
| 25. Production Part Approval | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All items of the AIAG <i>Production Part Approval Process</i> manual must be completed and the required documentation provided to Stackpole with the Part Submission Warrant. • All Results Must be ballooned to drawing, whether assembly or sub-component • Type of device utilized to obtain data needs to be identified • Provide evidence of service provider certification for layout and lab if external site used • Include copy of Specifications in PPAP submission • Include evidence of sub-supplier PPAP approvals • Additional information may be required that Stackpole Facility specific. Contact your Stackpole Divisional Quality Department in advance for additional requirements |
| 26. Production Part Delivery at MRD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Production shipping schedules established to support Stackpole requirements • Delivery and frequency method agreement made with Stackpole. |
| 27. IMDS Data Entered into System | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suppliers are required to sign in to the IMDS system and log the information for the part. See section 2.9 of this manual for more information on IMDS. |

**The Master Document is controlled electronically. All hard copies of this document are uncontrolled.
To verify the revision level of any copy, compare to the electronic master located on the Stackpole website.**